


COURTS IN GILA COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2013-2015 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Address facilities, space, and budgetary challenges through technology while addressing architecture-related issues.
- Improve collections at all levels; expand online payment processing.
- Improve court statistical reporting; provide training on report writing capabilities.
- Improve court physical security at all facilities as well as risk management and emergency response planning.
- Improve integration, interaction, and reporting between court and county entities, especially on financial issues.
- Enhance court website to include more helpful information and forms for public; transition from AOC web hosting to county web hosting support.
- Implement new case management system in limited jurisdiction court environment; provide tools to enhance productivity and case processing.

CY 2010/11 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Implemented electronic sealed document in superior court clerk's office.
- Equipped all superior court courtrooms with digital audio recording.
- Participated in countywide strategic planning meeting.
- Installed MAYSI-2 assessment software and provided access to detention medical report system at Juvenile Detention Center.
- Separated Globe Regional Justice Court and Globe Municipal Court; implemented photo enforcement at Globe Muni; implemented Justice EZ Trac at Globe Justice Court.
- Refreshed power backup unit in superior court computer room.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS/Bench Auto	Understand the value in integration and leveraging of resources; concern for timing of LJ AJACS rollout with JOLTSaz rollout; will be mid- to late adopters.
JOLTSaz/AZYAS	Desire for SWID link in AJACS; concern for timing of JOLTSaz rollout with LJ AJACS rollout; will be a mid-cycle adopter.
LJ EDMS	Anxious to decrease costs to meet budget constraints, but no funds exist to pay for subscription; will be mid to late adopters.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Very aware of the benefits of standardization; anxious to improve customer service and accept payments on web; will be mid-cycle adopter.
Architecture/Security	Very limited local development; continuing long-term plan to address items in retirement status; still at OnBase 7.2.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Provide Court Website	FY13		X		Migrate to county vendor maintained site
Refresh PCs and Components	FY14		X		ACAP and local computers
Relocating Probation Offices	FY14		X		Globe Adult and Juvenile
SSRS Training	FY13		X		All courts
Courtroom Cameras	FY13		X		Superior Court
eMinute Entry in AJACS	FY14		X		Clerk of Court; OnBase
Public AJACS Viewing (Monitored)	FY13		X		Clerk of Court
Upgrade Server Room / Server Virtualization	FY13		X		Migrate to latest releases and address EA concerns

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.